The AMERICAI

A LITERARY

Vol. I. No. 1 *

NOVEMBE

The AMERICAN SPECTATOR A LITERARY NEWSPAPER

EDITED BY

GEORGE JEAN NATHAN ERNEST BOYD THEODORE DREISER JAMES BRANCH CABELL EUGENE O'NEILL

Published by The American Spectator, Inc. RICHARD R. SMITH, President 12 East 41st Street, New York City

The American Speciator is published on the first day of each month and is circulated simultaneously in England, France, Germany, Austria and Italy. Unsolicited manuscripts, save they be accompanied by fully stamped, self-addressed envelopes, will not be returned. All such manuscripts must be addressed to The

THE PHYSICIAN AND SEX

by HAVELOCK ELLIS

The subject of sex in its psychic and social bear- ing to do with morals of ings is so central, and of an importance now so argue; he must consider widely recognized, if not indeed exaggerated, among good and advise him accoming the general public, that the medical man of today gard to moral and convent cannot fail to have it brought before him. He cannot, like his predecessors, conventionally ignore its to many awkward position existence, or feel that its recognition would be resented as impertinent or indecorous. Moreover, a knowledge confined to general anatomy, physiology, and pathology is now altogether inadequate.

My own opinion is in accord with these views. I have indeed long felt that medical education displays at this point a vacuum which is altogether lamentable. In my own medical training, which began half a century ago, the psychological aspects of

ever, is a short-sighted con sistencies, not seldom to a it is sought to cure. For i istic of the sexual impul nutritive impulse, that its volves another person. I social sphere, into the sph entitled to seek his own to seek his good, in any volves evil to other perso

The AMERICAN SPECTATOR

Theodore Dreiser Eugene O'Neill James Branch Cabell George Jean Nathan Ernest Boyd

Clarence Darrow Frank Swinnerton Joseph Wood Krutch Van Wyck Brooks Havelock Ellis

Liam O'Flaherty Ring Lardner Lincoln Steffens Louis Untermeyer Calvin B. Bridges

EDITORIAL

The American Spectator has no policy in the common sense of that word. It advocates no panaceas; it has no axes to grind; it has no private list of taboos. It offers an opportunity for the untrammelled expression of individual opinion, ignoring what is accepted and may be taken for granted in

century ago, whom it was sometimes considered in- physicians, with a full sen decent to instruct in so sexual a subject as botany, openly publish advice in

Sexual psychology, normal and abnormal, as well so very long ago they co as sexual hygiene, nowadays attracts a general interest and attention which before the present cen- large and splendid part tury were undreamed of. The young man of today working for the welfare is sometimes remarkably well informed in relation medical adviser in the to the literature of sex, and the young woman of people, takes part in this today often approaches these subjects in an inquiring spirit and with an absence of prudery which

give even in private. Th

THE GENTEEL TRADITION IN SEX

by BRANCH CABELL

An author may have his hobby: and should the demented fellow elect (as Keats has approximately phrased it) to sway about upon his hobby-horse and think it Pegasus, there is no great harm done. I do not know that upon the whole he is much happier for having this sort of equestrianship observed and applauded by the cognoscenti who collect books and esteem especially those first editions wherefrom has been removed no one of the misprints. I am sure that an author is often thrust into a most delicate predicament when he finds his books valued not only for their typographical errors but for still other qualities wherein he does not desire preeminence.

For do you but observe his plight! All courtesy is a draft to be honored in its own coinage. He could prefer, certainly, some compliment of a more congenial and more rational nature. Even so, the applause has a pleasant ring; and the applauder seems sincere. Not every one of us is ready in such circumstances to snub adulation, as did Wellington so perfectly, with the crisp reply, "Don't be a fool!" In fact, to make just that reply to a dissertation upon one's own genius would appear uncivil; and yet one really is tempted to make it, now and again, to the undesired disciple.

I voice this plaint because when some years ago the Society for the Suppression of Vice first brought me before the public as the writer of "an obscene and lewd and lascivious book," its well-meant endeavors established me in far too wide estimation as an approved pundit of pornography. The legend lives on, in astounding tenaciousness, without requiring any least further nurture; and I still suffer from the admirers thus attracted. This very morning, for example, I received a letter from vet another "book collector." After the customary encomia of my writings, which ordinarily bespeak the asking of a more or less unreasonable favor by return post, he requests me to select from my complete works "the most lively passage of an erotic nature" from which a drawing could be made to serve as his book plate.

It is not in the least his fault that, about the corners, my mouth is still faintly frothing. The man honestly intends a compliment; he writes too as a person of fair culture; and yet, somehow, to find my books regarded as a thesaurus of all fornications does not seem to me utterly complimentary.

I have not ever learned to think of myself as a connoisseur of copulation: and when I receive, as I continue to receive, some dozen letters a month (the most of them from professed "book collectors") fiddling with this eternal stale theme, I do not love all my professed admirers. I love, rather, the first Duke of Wellington.

It would be well, I reflect, could these morons and young bitches take coition more quietly. I admit, though, that this task has always baffled Americans as a nation, and that American literature in especial has remained singularly unaffected by the persiflage of the drawing-room. That seems particularly true to-day when, under the lime-light of a perfervid and defiant "frankness," the genital organs are being put through their limited repertory in so very many quite inexplicably popular books. The shrill emphasis and the visible excitement of the author hereabouts (just as formerly did the abashed utterance and the virginally vague hints of the author hereabouts) really do lead you to surmise that his social advantages have, in either instance, been somewhat restricted. In neither instance, I mean, is it in the least the tone of the contemporaneous gentry, to whom these matters have always seemed merely amusing.

One encounters nowadays so many scathing dicta as to "the genteel tradition" in American letters that I rather hesitate to suggest that the true "genteel tradition" has at all times remained unrepresented there. I content myself with pointing out that the majority at any rate of our writers have been (to employ a quaintly old-fashioned term) not quite ladies and gentlemen. I say only that to speak of any sexual relationship has, I think, for this reason always flurried American writers, either to the extreme of regarding the matter as undiscussable, or to the other extreme of regarding coition as a very gravely important matter, such as well justified coarse speaking and a deal of heavy-handed sociology.

Yet all the while, I believe (but beyond doubt, during the last thirty-five years), their relatively civilized social betters, in unliterary drawing-rooms, have spoken of sex as a mildly pleasant joke and have continued to discuss its gymnastics in this particular aspect. Such, I can assure the literati, has for a long while been the attitude of the upper classes. That is the true "genteel tradition" as to all erotic matters; it is a tradition not yet represented in American letters; and it is also a tradition which causes me to fidget before those who gravely collect my books as erotica. I designed those books for quite other ends.